



Project no. *FP6-2004-506590*

Project acronym *WOLIWEB*

Project title *The socio-economic determinants of citizens' work life attitudes, preferences and perceptions, using data from the continuous web-based European Wage Indicator Survey*

Instrument: STREP

Thematic Priority PRIORITY 7, Research Area 3.2.2.

WOLIWEB national report

Some results

from Finland

Deliverable: D16 National Report FINLAND

Report Version: Final

Date of preparation: 30.04.2006

Authors of this report: Kimmo Kevätsalo, Käyttötieto Oy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Skewness.....	2
3. Skewness of wages and salaries.....	3
4. Pay discrimination by gender in relation to factual pay gap.....	5
5. Trade union membership and wages/salaries	7
6. Work histories	9
7. Qualifications, competences	11
8. Collective bargaining	13
9. Collective agreement attitudes	14
10. Perceptions of job insecurity in relation to dismissals and reorganisations at the workplace.....	15
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION

Palkkalaskuri is the Finnish name of the Finnish Woliweb-sites. This report is based on the Finnish data of 31.12.2005. There are 4705 observations.

My aim is to present a preliminary description of the data and findings of the report using cross-tabulation according to the most generally used background variables: gender, age, education, socio-economic position, industry, occupation etc.

2. SKEWNESS

I begin with the representativeness (skewness) of the data. As an estimator I mainly use the latest statistics from Statistic Finland, and sometimes surveys that represent the Finnish employees or the whole labour force well enough (e.g. Lehto-Sutela 2003; Pyöriä-Melin-Blom 2005).

The division of respondents according to sex in Palkkalaskuri is skew. There are more women (60 %) than men (40 %). In the year 2004 sex division in the Finnish labour force was 50-50.

Age structure is also skew. In table 1 one can notice that respondents are clearly younger than the whole working population. The difference seems to diminish slowly with the increasing number of observations.

Table 1 Employee age structure in 2003 (Statistical Yearbook 2005) and in Palkkalaskuri data 2005, for full-time employees

Age classification	Statistic Finland	Palkkalaskuri dataset October -05	Palkkalaskuri dataset December -05
15-29	22,8	31,2	29,3
30-39	23,7	35	35,1
40-49	26,8	21,1	22,3
50-64	26,5	12	13,3

The third dimension of the skewness is educational structure. As one might quite well expect the educational level of those who have answered the Palkkalaskuri questionnaire is not representative in relation to all Finnish employees.

Table2 Employee educational structure in 2003 (Statistical Yearbook 2005) and in Palkkalaskuri data 2005 , for full-time employees

Educational structure	Statistic Finland, 2003	Dataset October -05	Dataset December -05
Only basic education	22,2	5,2	5,0
Upper secondary education	44,6	38,9	37,6
Tertiary education	33,2	55,9	57,3

The skewness has slightly deteriorated where the number of respondents has increased from October to December in 2005. Perhaps this is only a temporary change but it may be typical for Internet surveys at the moment when Internet literacy is not yet a basic civic competence.

3. SKEWNESS OF WAGES AND SALARIES

In 2003 there were 1 394 000 employees (658 000 women and 736 100 men) who had a full-time job during 12 months. The annual mean wages and salaries for men were 34 789 euros and for women 26 775 euros (78 % of men's wages and salaries). The median for men was 30 623 euros and for women 24 472 euros (80 % of men's median).

When evaluating the differences between the official statistics and the Palkkalaskuri data, we have to bear in mind that 1) the official statistics are two years older than Palkkalaskuri data, 2) they include the holiday wages/salaries and holiday bonuses (approximately 15 %). I assume that pay rises have been 4 % a year. Taking into consideration the assumption of 4 + 4 % pay rises I get from the official statistics the evaluated average monthly wage/salary for full time employees in 2005 about 2566 Euros (2835 for men and 2279 for women, a woman's Euro is 80 cents of a man's Euro).

The Palkkalaskuri questionnaire + assumed 15 % bonuses give 2585 euros as an average pay for both genders, 2400 euros for women and 2847 euros for men (a woman's Euro is 84 cents of a man's Euro). There is a difference of 19 Euros between the results of these two data concerning average wages/salaries. We may state that even now when we have only 4705 observations the figures are quite close to each other at this most general level.

With a detailed analysis of observations one can notice that there presumably are “wrong” answers. There are such high and low wages/salaries in some occupations that they cannot be real. Quite probably they reflect mostly spelling errors (e.g. too many or too few figures written accidentally). Misunderstandings of a question are possible too. A respondent may have given his/her annual wage/salary when the question in fact referred to monthly earnings. For one reason or another some respondents may have intentionally written too high or too low wage/salary. Most of these sources of error can be checked if there are enough resources available. For a preliminary analysis I have removed all probably wrong answers which I noticed when glancing over the data. Moreover I have defined that only monthly gross wages/salaries between 0 – 15 000 euros are accepted.

4. PAY DISCRIMINATION BY GENDER IN RELATION TO FACTUAL PAY GAP

When comparing monthly wages/salaries by sector only gross wages/salaries are included, not the various bonuses.

The results are presented in table 3.

Table 3 Average earnings by employer sector and gender in 2003 (Statistical Yearbook 2005) and in Palkkalaskuri data 2005, for full-time employees

Employer sector	Monthly earnings Statistics Finland		Women % of men	Monthly earnings Palkkalaskuri		Women % of men
	Men	Women		Men	Women	
Private	2608	2127	82	2425	2073	85
Public	2821	2301	81	2402	2000	82
Total	2797	2227	80	2476	2089	84

As mentioned earlier the pay discrimination is greater in annual than monthly wages/salaries. The Palkkalaskuri data presents pay gap to be smaller than Statistics Finland. Some explanations for this may be comprised in the skewness of the data; e.g. the age, education and gender structures. I have presently resources to deepen analysis into effects of these background variables. From some studies we know for example that pay discrimination is depending on age: young employees have greater gender pay differences than older ones (Pajunen 2005, 25).

The pay gap according to those occupations which are reasonably represented in the present Palkkalaskuri data is the following:

Table 4 Average earnings by occupation and gender in official statistics 2003 and in Palkkalaskuri data 2005, for full-time employees

Employer sector	Monthly earnings Statistics Finland		Women % of men	Monthly earnings Palkkalaskuri		Women % of men
	Men	Women		Men	Women	
Private	2608	2127	82	2425	2073	85
Public	2821	2301	81	2402	2000	82
Total	2797	2227	80	2476	2089	84

Taking into consideration the above mentioned reservations concerning the skewness of the Palkkalaskuri data we can see that there is more skewness according to occupations at the most general level of occupation classification. In the Palkkalaskuri data the pay gaps are smaller than in the official data. Among high status employees an explanation may be trade union membership.

5. TRADE UNION MEMBERSHIP AND WAGES/SALARIES

Palkkalaskuri gives an opportunity to compare pay gap between trade union members and non-members. Some earlier Finnish surveys have collected information about pay and trade union membership, but none has been used for systematic analysis of these two variables. I start this analysis with our existing data. We get only preliminary results because the data is skew also by this variable. In the Palkkalaskuri data December 2005 there are 91 % trade union members. More representative sources show the union density to be 70-71 % (Ylöstalo 2004; Böckerman- Uusitalo 2005). One explanation for skwness is that the beginning of Palkkalaskuri was organised together with the two largest central federations¹.

Table 5 Average earnings by trade union membership and gender in Palkkalaskuri data 2005, full-time employees, euros/month

	Women	Men	Total
Trade union members	2066	2353	2179
Non-members	2456	2939	2704
N	1980	1359	4041

The pay of trade union members for women is 84 % and for men 80 % of the pay for non-members.

For a deeper analysis I checked the wages and salaries for those who had answered that they themselves belong to the blue-collar, lower level white-collar and upper-level white collar status categories.

Table 6 Average earnings by trade union membership and gender in Palkkalaskuri data 2005, full-time employees, euros/month

	Blue-collar	White-collar, low-level	White-collar, high-level	Civil servants
Trade union members	1694	2081	2986	2491
Non-members	1833	2284	3603	2459
N	726	791	574	180

¹ The Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions SAK and the Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees STTK

The pay difference between members and non-members is quite small among blue-collar workers (members' wages are 92 % of non-members' wages) and low-level white-collar workers (91 %). Among managerial and professional white-collar workers the trade union member average salary is 83 % of the non-member average salary. For those who have considered themselves to belong to the civil servant category there is practically no difference between members and non-members.

6. WORK HISTORIES

The usual ways of describing individual work histories are to look at unemployment experiences, changes of employer and occupation.

Employer changes are less common within high-level white-collar workers than within other socio-economic categories. Counting only full-time employees does not notably change the results.

According to this dataset, being mobile is as common among low-level white-collar workers as it is among blue-collar workers. If this turns out to be a stable feature when the dataset enlarges, it may be interpreted as a remarkable change among employees,

Table 8 Changes of employer by the most common industries in Palkkalaskuri data 2005

Changes of employer	Manu- factur- ring + Con- struct- ion. %	Trade %	Trans- port and communi- ca-tions %	Finan- cial, insurance and busi- ness service %	Public admin.an d defence %	Ed. + health. + social. serv %	Other serv. %	N agriculture etc. (n=23) not included in the classifi- cation)
None	26	19	24	23	27	26	23	1010
1	16	15	14	18	16	15	11	659
2	13	15	13	14	11	12	12	555
3	11	13	10	14	9	11	13	507
4	8	11	13	10	10	11	9	459
5 or more	26	27	26	21	27	25	32	1022
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
N	1126	597	238	1043	340	530	315	4212

There are quite clear differences between industries in stability of employment relationships. The least stable industries are trade and private sector dominated services like financial and business services. In the other end of this dimension are public sector services and surprisingly also manufacturing and construction. Surprisingly the construction industry seems not to have any deviation from manufacturing. It is possible that the explanation is the small number of respondents from construction industry.

Table 9 Changes of employer by socio-economic status in Palkkalaskuri data 2005

Changes of employer	Socio-economic status					
	Blue-collar	White-collar, low-level	White-collar, high-level	Civil servants	Total	N (325 or 9 % not classified by socio-economic status)
	%	%	%	%	%	
None	21	22	23	24	23	739
1	16	17	15	21	16	529
2	14	13	14	14	13	436
3	12	12	14	8	12	386
4	12	12	11	12	11	308
5 or more	25	24	9	21	25	389
Total	100	100	100	100	100	
N	1048	966	721	218		3246

Employer changes are less common within high-level white-collar workers than within other socio-economic categories. Counting only full-time employees does not change the results in a noteworthy way.

Being mobile is as common among low-level white-collar workers as blue-collar workers according to this dataset . If this turns out to be a stable feature when the dataset enlarges, it may be interpreted as a remarkable change among employees. There may be "wrong" answers in the sense that some manual workers within trade and service sectors interpret themselves as white collar workers (e.g. salespersons within retail business and department stores). This is naturally possible to check by statistically analysing which industry the low level white collar workers belong to.

As for correlation between trade union membership and work histories, it is possible to examine these only at general level because the amount of non-members is so small. Trade union members change employer more often than non-members but the explanation may be a background variable such as sector or industry.

Table 10 Changes of employer by trade union membership in Palkkalaskuri data 2005

Changes of employer	Trade union membership		
	Non-members	Members	Total
None	27	24	25
1	14	16	16
2	13	13	13
3	14	11	12
4	9	11	11
5 or more	23	25	23
Total	100	100	100
N	323	3240	3563

7. QUALIFICATIONS, COMPETENCES

There are a lot of definitions about qualifications and competences depending on different theories (e.g Gudmundsson 1998). In fact our dataset does not give information about the formal qualifications of the jobs. We know basic formal education. We also know how much additional education our respondents have got paid for either by their employer or by themselves. Moreover we have employees' opinions about their abilities to manage job requirements.

For the purposes of this report I define qualification as conditions that one's employer has decided an employee has to fulfil according to: 1) required examination and 2) learning time for managing one's tasks. This condition has been asked in our questionnaire using a question: What is the required training time to settle in a particular job for a person who has taken a required examination.

Table 11 Time needed to settle in one's job by socio-economic status in Palkkalaskuri data 2005

Time needed to settle in one's job after a required examination	Socio-economic status					
	Blue-collar %	White-collar, low-level %	White-collar, high-level %	Civil servants %	Total %	N (260 or 8 % not classified by socio-economic status)
No training	2	1	1	2	2	57
1-2 days	9	4	1	1	5	143
3-6 days	9	6	2	3	6	185
1-4 weeks	20	15	9	8	15	456
1-3 months	18	24	17	16	15	595
3-6 months	12	17	18	11	15	455
½-1 year	12	15	19	25	16	474
More than a year	19	18	33	34	23	694
Total	100	100	100	100	100	
N	996	928	673	202		3059

Over a half (58 %) of employees claim that learning to manage their job takes less than half a year for a person who has had the required education. There is a clear connection between one's socio-economic position and time needed to settle in a job: 70 % of blue collar workers, but only 48 % in professional and managerial tasks answer that necessary time is less than 6 months.

On the other hand, I operationalise competence here as a match between qualification requirements and abilities which an employee thinks he/she really has.

There are several questions which measure this aspect in our questionnaire. One is "Does your educational level match your job level?"

Table 12 Matching of job level and education level in Palkkalaskuri data 2005

Matching of education and job levels	Educational level				
	Basic education	Third level education	Low level university examination	High level university examination (MA, BA or more)	Total
	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	83	74	71	75	73
Too highly educated	8	7	5	2	5
Too lowly educated	9	20	25	23	21
Total	100	100	100	100	100
N	216	1187	1298	497	3198

The result is surprising when compared with some Finnish research results (e.g. Kevätsalo 1999 and Kevätsalo, Ekström & Eteläaho 2001). For example what does a person with university examination mean when she/he answers that her/his education level is too low? To answer this question we need extra analysis but unfortunately the necessary data is not available at present.

8. COLLECTIVE BARGAINING

The coverage of collective bargaining in Finland has 3 levels. The most important one is the binding agreements made by national employer and employee organisations. These organisations make more than 200 national agreements, of which about 130 are defined "binding" by a national committee. There are also company and workplace agreements which mainly cannot be worse for employees than national collective agreements. Moreover there are agreements made by central federations of employers and employees.

For laymen the system cannot be very well known in detail, but they have some image about their position in this system. Our questionnaire endeavours to examine this using e.g. the question "Are you yourself covered by a collective agreement?" Almost 90 % of all employees answer "yes". The interesting feature in our dataset is that best covered employee group seems to be low level white-collar workers. Expected and understandable is the result that professionals are not as well covered by collective agreement as other employee groups. The employers do not even accept agreements for several professional groups within private sector. The technology industries within the private sector are the most important ones which have a collective agreement also for professionals even though it is quite "light" compared with collective agreements of e.g. blue-collar workers.

Table 13 Collective agreement coverage in Palkkalaskuri data 2005

Collective agreement	Socio-economic status				
	Blue-collar	White-collar, low-level	White-collar, high-level	Civil servants	Total
	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	86	9	17	10	12
No	14	91	83	90	88
Total	100	100	100	100	100
N	937	896	721	218	2910

9. COLLECTIVE AGREEMENT ATTITUDES

In this report I present only one cross-tabulation concerning attitudes about collective agreement: the correlation of attitudes and collective agreement coverage. The result is: 11 % of those covered by collective agreement do not think that this is important. I continue further with the analysis by examining which are the attitudes of those who are not covered by collective agreement. In many respects the result gives a remarkable signal: one quarter of all those who are not covered by CA think that CAs are not important. 37 % of high status white collar workers (managerial and professional) have an attitude that CA is not important.

Table 14 Attitudes about collective agreement (CA) among those who are not covered by CA in Palkkalaskuri data 2005

Attitude	Socio-economic status			Total
	Blue-collar	White-collar, low-level %	White-collar, high-level %	
	%			%
Important	81	78	63	74
Not important	19	22	37	26
Total	100	100	100	100
N	113	71	90	308 (some employee groups missing)

10. PERCEPTIONS OF JOB INSECURITY IN RELATION TO DISMISSALS AND REORGANISATIONS AT THE WORKPLACE

In our questionnaire there are several questions measuring on the one hand dismissals and reorganisations, on the other hand mapping insecurity anticipations. In this report I describe the data in one cross-tabulation: as an explanatory variable there are announced redundancies. This explains the variation in the variable concerning worries about job security. The correlation is not quite clear and needs extra analysis. Among those in whose workplace redundancies have occurred there is quite a definite attitude about job insecurity. However even in these work organisations there are around one third who are not worried. Perhaps either they belong to the core workforce, or perhaps they do not mind this situation. The prospective analysis will tell us more.

Table 16 Attitude about job security resulting from announced redundancies in Palkkalaskuri data 2005

Opinion about the statement "I am worried about job security"	Announced redundancies		
	No	Yes	Total
Fully agree	18	36	23
2	14	16	21
3	14	13	14
4	23	16	15
Totally disagree	27	15	23
Do not know	5	3	4
Total	100	100	100
N	2441	10180	3459

REFERENCES

Böckerman P & Uusitalo R (2005): Union Membership and the erosion of the Ghent System: Lessons from Finland, Palkansaajien tutkimuslaitos, Työpapereita No. 213

Gudmundsson Gestur (1998): Old Wine in New Bottles: The Concepts of Competence and Qualification. In Fleming Daniel, Kettunen Pauli, Soborg Henrik & Thörnqvist Christer: Global Redefining of Working Life. Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen. Pp. 173-222.

Johansson Mats, Katz Katarina and Nyman Håkan (2005): Wage Differentials and Gender Discrimination: Changes in Sweden 1981-98. Acta Sociologica Vol 48(4), 341-344

Kevätsalo Kimmo (1999): Jäykät joustot ja tuhatut resurssit. Vastapaino, Tampere.

Kevätsalo Kimmo, Ekström Kenneth & Eteläaho Tiina(2001): Osaaminen, verkostot ja yhteistoiminta. Käyttötieto, Helsinki.

Melkas Tuula (2004): Tasa-arvobarometri. Sosiaali- ja terveysministeriön julkaisuja.

Pajunen Pirjo (2005): Pitkää, pätkeä, silppua. SAK:n järjestötutkimus 2005. Tasa-arvoraportti.

Pyöriä Pasi, Melin Harri & Blom Raimo (2005): Knowledge workers in the Information Society. Evidence from Finland. Tampere University Press. Tampere

Lehto Anna-Majja – Sutela Hanna (1998): Efficient, more efficient, exhausted: findings of Finnish quality of work life surveys 1977-1997.

- " - Uhkia ja mahdollisuuksia. (2004). Työolotutkimusten tuloksia 1977–2003. Tilastokeskus, Helsinki;

Työolobarometri 2005. Ennakkotietoja.

http://www.mol.fi/mol/fi/99_pdf/fi/06_tyoministerio/06_julkaisut/10_muut/tyoolobarometri2005ennakko.pdf
